	Case 2:05-cv-00441-GEB-EFB Docume	nt 275 Filed 10/12/16 Page 1 of 2
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6	UNITED STATE	ES DISTRICT COURT
7	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
8		
9	VERNON WAYNE MCNEAL,	No. 2:05-cv-00441-GEB-EFB
10	Plaintiff,	
11	V.	ORDER DENYING DISCOVERY MOTIONS
12	F. LECKIE; A ERVIN; R. CHATHAM, substituted party for C. CHATHAM, deceased; and	
13		
14	D. VAN LEER;	
15	Defendants.	
16		
17	Plaintiff filed three discovery motions on March 31,	
18	2016 (ECF Nos. 262, 263 and 264); a discovery motion on April 1,	
19	2016 (ECF No. 265); an April 8, 2016 objection which contains	
20	discovery requests (ECF No. 266); an April 14, 2016 discovery	
21	motion (characterized by P	laintiff as a motion to amend
22	photographs) (ECF No. 267); and four discovery motions on April	
23	22, 2016 (ECF Nos. 268, 269, 270 and 271).	
24	However, as Plainti	iff was informed in the Pretrial
25	Order filed on February 24, 2015, "[d]iscovery [is] closed [and]	
26	Plaintiff has not provided the court with any reason why he did	

closed]." (Pretrial Order 19:16-18, ECF No. 180.) The Pretrial

not timely pursue the requested information [before discovery

27

28

Case 2:05-cv-00441-GEB-EFB Document 275 Filed 10/12/16 Page 2 of 2

Order states "[i]f no objections are made [within 30 days], the Pretrial Order will become final without further order of the court." (Pretrial Order 21:21-22, ECF No. 180.) Although Plaintiff filed objections to certain portions of the Pretrial Order, the objections to the undisputed facts section of the order are overruled and Plaintiff's remaining objections concern matters that fail to prevent the Pretrial Order from becoming final. Further, the Pretrial Order was supplemented by Orders filed May 22, 2015 and June 1, 2015. (ECF Nos. 206 and 210.)

Therefore, Plaintiff's discovery requests are untimely since discovery is closed and Plaintiff has not shown that the date by which discovery was to have been completed should be modified to prevent manifest injustice. Accordingly, Plaintiff's discovery requests filed March 31, 2016 (ECF Nos. 262, 263 and 264) are DENIED; Plaintiff's discovery request filed April 1, 2016 (ECF No. 265) is DENIED; Plaintiff's discovery request filed April 8, 2016 (ECF No. 266) is DENIED; Plaintiff's discovery request filed April 14, 2016 (ECF No. 267) is DENIED; and Plaintiff's discovery requests filed April 22, 2016 (ECF Nos. 268, 269, 270 and 271) are DENIED.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: October 11, 2016

GARLAND E. BURRELL, JR.

Senior United States District Judge